WO	HNITED STA	TES DIST	FRICT CO
	Case 2:04-cr-00404-JAT	Document 91	Filed 09/07/0

Page KofigED

_ LODGED _ COPY

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

SEP

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT

7 2007

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

BY

RDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIADEPUT

V.		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Mario Alberto Amador, Jr.		ario Alberto Amador, Jr.	Case Number:	CR 04-404-001 PHX JAT		
	ordance tablishe		42(f), a detention hearing has	s been held. I conclude that the following facts		
		ear and convincing evidence the defendating trial in this case.	nt is a danger to the commur	nity and require the detention of the defendant		
Ø	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
		PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that	t the defendant has committe	ed		
		an offense for which a maximu 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46		en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§		
		an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§	924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
		an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § imprisonment of ten years or r	§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crir nore is prescribed.	mes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
		an offense involving a minor vi	ctim prescribed in	1		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the period conditions will reasonably assure the a	presumption established by appearance of the defendant	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.		
			Alternative Findings			
K)	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendate the appearance of the defendant as re	ant will flee; no condition or co quired.	embination of conditions will reasonably assure		
	(2)	No condition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the	e safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					
			ATEMENT OF REASONS FO	OR DETENTION		
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and info as to danger that:	ormation submitted at the hea	ring establish by clear and convincing evidence		

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity) offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:04-cr-00404-JAT Document 91 Filed 09/07/07 Page 2 of 2

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
×	In addi	tion: Facling to Comply with directives of USPO.
time o		ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the ring in this matter.
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
appea of the	ections fa I. The de United S	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending affendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court tates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the le United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
Court. service	a copy of Pursuate of a co	PRDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District nt to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of py of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
	es suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial iently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
Date	: <u> </u>	eptember 7, 2007 MICHELLE H. RURNS
		WILDELLE DIENS

Page 2 of 2

United States Magistrate Judge